

Operator Expansion in the Derivative and Multiplication by x

A. Di Bucchianico*
Eindhoven University of Technology
Dept. of Mathematics & Computing Science
P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
sandro@win.tue.nl

D. E. Loeb†
LaBRI
Université de Bordeaux I
33405 Talence, France
loeb@labri.u-bordeaux.fr

February 1, 2008, 1:41

Abstract

We generalize to several variables Kurbanov and Maksimov's result that all linear polynomial operators can be expressed as a formal sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(X) D^k$ in terms of the derivative D (or any degree reducing operator) and multiplication by x . In contrast, we characterize those linear operators that can be expressed as $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(D) X^k$ and give several examples. Generalizations to several variables and arbitrary degree reducing operators are considered.

AMS Classification: 05A40, 47AXX

Key Words: operator expansion, umbral calculus, commutation rules, degree reducing operators

1 Introduction

In this paper, we will study linear operators on polynomials and give certain summation formulas. All operators are assumed to be linear and their domain and range are assumed to be the ring of polynomials $K[x]$ where K is some field of characteristic zero.

An operator is *shift-invariant* if $QE^a = E^aQ$ for all $a \in K$, where E^a is the shift operator $E^a p(x) = p(x+a)$. A fundamental result of umbral calculus (see [9, Theorem 2, p. 691]) is that Q is shift-invariant if and only if it can be expressed (as an infinite series) in the derivative D . Its coefficients are given by the D-expansion formula

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k D^k \tag{1}$$

where $a_k = [Qx^k/k!]_{x=0}$.

It has been asked [9, Problem 12, p. 752] what operators can be expressed (as infinite series) in D and in X where X represents multiplication by x . Pincherle and Amaldi [8] proved that all linear operators

*Author supported by NATO CRG 930554.

†Author partially supported by URA CNRS 1304, EC grant CHRX-CT93-0400, the PRC Maths-Info, and NATO CRG 930554.

can be so expressed. Kurbanov and Maksimov give an explicit construction of such an expansion in the Proceedings of the Uzbekistan Academy of Science [5] (even with the added restriction that the derivatives lie to the right of multiplication by x)

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(X) D^n \quad (2)$$

where the polynomials a_n are defined by the following generating function

$$\frac{Q \exp(xt)}{\exp(xt)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(x) t^n. \quad (3)$$

(By abuse of notation, Q above acts on the coefficients of $\exp(xt) \in (K[x])[[t]]$.) Such an expansion will be called an XD-expansion since it is an expansion in D and X with X lying to the left of D . Note that XD-expansions are useful in that the derivative is easy to calculate both numerically and symbolically. Moreover, XD-expansions allow us to manipulate arbitrary linear operators with similar ease. For example, the XD-expansion of the integration operator gives an asymptotic formula for integration (6) which can also be found in [1, Sections 3.5 and 3.6]. Note that infinite sums such as (2) are always well defined since when applied to any given polynomial $p(x)$ only a finite number of terms make a nonzero contribution.

Equation (2) can be viewed as the XD-generalization of equation (1). In fact, many of the results of umbral calculus are direct corollaries of (2). In section 2, a generalization of equation (2) will be proven. As do Kurbanov and Maksimov, the derivative will be replaced by a general degree reducing operator B . We will further generalize equation (2) and consider multivariate polynomial operators.

The duality between the operators D and X is a recurrent theme in the umbral calculus (see for example [9, p. 695] and [11]). Thus, it is natural to consider the dual form of (2), namely, expansions of the form

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(D) X^n \quad (4)$$

called DX-expansions. In [4, theorem 2.1], we find DX-expansions of certain umbral operators. Do all operators have a DX-expansion? Surprisingly, the answer is “no”, and those that do will be called DX-operators.

In section 2, we will show that the set of DX-operators form a subalgebra of the algebra of linear operators. We will characterize DX-operators in several ways.

There are several surprising differences between DX and XD-expansions that will be explored in this paper:

- Although all operators have XD-expansions, not all have DX-expansions.
- Although all XD-expansions converge when applied to polynomials, not all DX-expansions do so.
- Although Maksimov and Kurbanov’s formula (3) generalizes well to multivariate polynomial operators, and arbitrary degree reducing operators B , the corresponding results concerning DX-expansions do not hold in the more general setting.

DX and XD-expansions for many important operators are given in section 4. Examples include several new operator expansions as applications to the umbral calculus; in particular, we will show that all umbral operators, and umbral shifts are DX-operators.

Some open problems are suggested in section 5.

2 XD-Expansion

The objective of this section is to prove the XD-expansion formula (2). However, we will first restate the formula in greater generality. Since equation (2) is a generalization of the D-expansion formula (1), we will as an introduction indicate the corresponding generalization of equation (1).

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be a finite or infinite set of variables.¹ A *monomial* over $\mathbf{x}$ is a product $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots$. Here and in the sequel $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{j}, \dots$ denote sequences of nonnegative integers with finite support. A *polynomial* is a finite K -linear combination of monomials. We denote the ring of polynomials over $\mathbf{x}$ by $K[\mathbf{x}]$.

Let D_i be the derivative with respect to x_i . Thus, $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} = D_1^{k_1} D_2^{k_2} \dots$. Define $\mathbf{n}! = n_1! n_2! \dots$, $(\mathbf{n})_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{n}! / (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k})!$, and $\binom{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{n})_{\mathbf{k}} / (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k})!$ with the motivation that $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} = (\mathbf{n})_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}}$ and $(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \binom{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}}$.

An operator is shift-invariant if $QE^{\mathbf{a}} = E^{\mathbf{a}}Q$ where $E^{\mathbf{a}} = \exp(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{D})$ is the shift operator $E^{\mathbf{a}}p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})$. We can now generalize the D-expansion formula (1).

Proposition 1 (D-Expansion Formula) *A linear operator $Q: K[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow K[\mathbf{x}]$ is shift-invariant if and only if it can be expressed (as an infinite series) in the derivative $\mathbf{D}$*

$$Q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}}$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}} = [Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} / \mathbf{k}!]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}}$.

Another generalization of the D-expansion formula (1) is to replace the derivative D with an arbitrary degree reducing operator B . Suppose $B: K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ is such that for all nonconstant polynomials p , $(\deg Bp) + 1 = \deg p$, and for all constants a , $Ba = 0$. Then B is called *degree reducing* and there exists a unique sequence of polynomials $b_n(x)$ (called the *divided power sequence for B* such that $Bb_n(x) = b_{n-1}(x)$ and $b_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$. For example, if $B = D$, then $b_n(x) = x^n / n!$. The sequence $n! b_n(x)$ is called the *basic family of B* by Markowsky [7].

We then have the “B-expansion formula.”

Proposition 2 (B-Expansion Formula) *A linear operator $Q: K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ commutes with B if and only if it can be expressed (as an infinite series) in B .*

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k B^k$$

where $a_k = [Qb_k(x)]_{x=0}$.

It is of course possible to carry out both generalizations simultaneously. Let $\{b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{n} \text{ finite support}\}$ be a basis of $K[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{0}) = \delta_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{0}}$. Define the operator B_i by $B_i b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = b_{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_i}(\mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the i th unit vector $(\mathbf{e}_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$. Denote by $\mathbf{B}$ the sequence of operators $(B_1, B_2, \dots)$. For example, if $b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} / \mathbf{n}!$, then $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D}$.

¹Note that the reader interested only in the univariate case may skip all the definitions involving bold-faced symbols with the assurance that in the univariate case they reduce to their univariate counterparts. In particular, $\mathbf{e}_i$ below should then be read as “1.”

Proposition 3 (B-Expansion Formula) *A linear operator $Q: K[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow K[\mathbf{x}]$ commutes with all B_i if and only if it can be expressed (as a formal power series) in $\mathbf{B}$.*

$$Q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{k}}$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}} = [Qb_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}}$. □

A formal power series is an infinite linear combination of monomials. The ring of formal power series with coefficients in K is denoted $K[[\mathbf{x}]]$. The generating function $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ for the sequence of polynomials $b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\mathbf{n}} \in (K[\mathbf{x}])[[\mathbf{t}]].$$

For example, if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D}$, then $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t})$.

By abuse of notation, we allow operators on $K[\mathbf{x}]$ to act on the coefficients of $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} B_i b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}} (B_i b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})) t^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}} b_{\mathbf{n}-e_i}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}} b_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\mathbf{m}+e_i} \\ &= t_i b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Let X_i be the operator of multiplication by x_i , and let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$. All linear operators can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.

Theorem 4 (XB-Expansion Formula) *Let $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots)$, and $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ be as above. Let $Q: K[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a linear operator. Then*

$$Q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{k}} \tag{5}$$

where the polynomials $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ are given by the generating function

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) t^{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{Qb(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}.$$

Proof: Apply both sides of equation (5) to the basis $b_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})$, or equivalently to its generating function $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$. The left-hand side gives $\mathbf{B}b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ while the right-hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{k}} b(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{k}} b(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \\ &= b(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t})^{-1} (Qb(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t})) b(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \\ &= Qb(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5 (XB-Uniqueness) *The XB-expansion given in theorem 4 is unique.*

Proof: It suffices to show that $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is the zero operator only if $a_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{X})$ is zero for all $\mathbf{n}$. Suppose not, and let $\mathbf{m}$ be a minimal such $\mathbf{n}$. However, $(\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{n}}) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m}! a_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. $\square$

We reserve most of our examples for section 4. However, we can not resist giving a simple but important example here.

Let J denote the definite integral $Jp(x) = \int_0^x p(u)du$. To express J in terms of D and X , we apply J to $\exp(xt)$ which yields $(\exp(xt) - 1)/t$. We then divide by $\exp(xt)$ and replace x and t with X and D respectively, being sure to keep X on the left and D on the right since they do not commute. Thus,

$$J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n X^{n+1} D^n / (n+1)!. \quad (6)$$

This single equation is essentially equivalent to the content of [1, sections 3.5 and 3.6].

However, note that the methods used here are purely formal. Thus, with equal ease, any linear operator in any number of dimensions can be expanded in terms of the derivative or any other “delta set” of degree reducing operators. For example, J can be expanded in terms of X and $B = \Delta$ instead of X and D where Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta p(x) = p(x+1) - p(x)$. The divided power sequence for $B = \Delta$ is $b_n(x) = \binom{x}{n}$ whose generating function is given by $b(x, t) = (1+t)^x$. Thus, $J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(X) D^n$ where a_n is given by the generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x) t^n = 1 - (1+t)^{-x} / \ln(1+t)$. In other words,

$$J = X - X^2 \Delta / 2 + (X^2 / 4 + X^3 / 6) \Delta^2 - (X^2 / 6 + X^3 / 6 - X^4 / 24) \Delta^3 + \dots$$

Recall that differentiation is simpler than integration, and that finite differences are even simpler still. Thus, formulas such as those above give simple algorithms by which one can approximate complicated linear operations. For more examples, see [5].

Proposition 6 (XB-Convergence) *Any infinite sum of the form $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ converges formally. In other words, only finitely many terms are nonzero when applied to any given polynomial.*

Proof: Every polynomial can be expressed as a linear combination

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in S} c_{\mathbf{n}} b_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$$

for some finite set S . Let $m_i = \max_{\mathbf{n} \in S} (n_i)$. Then $c_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{n}} p(\mathbf{x})$ is zero except when $\mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{m}$ componentwise, and there are only finitely many such $\mathbf{n}$. $\square$

Of course, the results above have only been proven for polynomials. One would hope to extend the expansion formulas by continuity to functions that are limits of polynomials in some sense. For example, $J \cos x = \sin x$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n X^{n+1} D^n}{n+1} \cos x &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} (-1)^k \cos x + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} (-1)^k \sin x \\ &= \sin x \cos x + (1 - \cos x) \sin x \\ &= \sin x. \end{aligned}$$

This is an example of a direct verification. In general, one has to justify the interchange of a limit and a summation. The following proposition gives a sufficient condition to allow this interchange.

Proposition 7 *Let Q be a shift-operator with representation $Q = \sum_n a_n(X) D^n$ and let f be the point-wise limit of a sequence of polynomials $(p_k)_{k \geq 0}$ for all x in some set V . If there exists $\varphi_n(x)$ such that $|a_n(x) D^n p_k(x)| \leq \varphi_n(x)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) < \infty$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in V$, then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(X) D^n p_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(X) D^n f(x).$$

Proof: This follows from Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, since series are integrals with respect to the measure $\sum_n \delta_n$, where δ_n is the Dirac measure at n . $\square$

3 DX-Expansions

3.1 Commutation Rules

When an operator has a DX-expansion, the coefficient of $D^k X^n$ in (2) is not necessarily the same as that of $X^n D^k$ in (4) since D and X do not commute (cf. proposition 15). In fact, their commutator $DX - XD$ is the identity. Applying theorem 5, we obtain

$$D^j X^i = \sum_{k=0}^j (i)_k (j)_k X^{i-k} D^{j-k} / k! \quad (7)$$

where the lower factorial $(x)_n = x(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-n+1)$. For another proof, see [3, Section 2.2].

Let $a_{nm} = D^n X^m / n!m!$ and $b_{nm} = X^m D^n / n!m!$. Then we have $a_{nm} = \sum_t b_{n-t, m-t} / t!$, or fixing the difference between n and m and indexing only by n , we have $a_n = \sum_t b_{n-t} / t!$. This sum is easily inverted, for the vector $\mathbf{a} = M\mathbf{b}$ where M is the upper triangular infinite Toeplitz matrix with i th diagonal $1/i!$. However, the inverse of this matrix has diagonals $(-1)^i / i!$ as can be seen from the identity $\sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i / i! j! = (1-1)^k / k! = \delta_{k0}$. Thus, we obtain

$$X^i D^j = \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k (i)_k (j)_k D^{j-k} X^{i-k} / k!. \quad (8)$$

More generally, we have the following result.

Proposition 8 ([D, X]-Commutation)

$$f(D)p(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{(k)}(X) f^{(k)}(D) / k! \quad (9)$$

$$p(X)f(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k f^{(k)}(D) p^{(k)}(X) / k!. \quad (10)$$

Proof: Consider linear combinations of (7) and (8). □

In so far as possible, we will derive a “B” analog and a multivariate analog of each result. These may be omitted by the reader essentially interested in the DX-expansions.

In this case, an arbitrary operator B may have virtually any commutator $BX - XB$. Thus, no corresponding “[B,X]-commutation” result can be given. However, in the multivariate case, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 9 ([D, X]-Commutation)

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{D})p(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{X}) f^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{D}) / \mathbf{k}! \\ p(\mathbf{X})f(\mathbf{D}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} f^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{D}) p^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{X}) / \mathbf{k}! \end{aligned}$$

where $|\mathbf{k}| = k_1 + k_2 + \dots$ and $f^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{D})$ is given by the multivariate Pincherle derivative $f^{(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{x}) = D^{\mathbf{k}} f(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof: Apply $[D, X]$ -commutation to each variable. Recall that D_i and x_j commute for $i \neq j$. □

3.2 Convergence of DX-Expansions

In section 2, we have seen that all operators have XD-expansions, and even XB, XD, BD-expansions. One might suspect that the commutation rules of the previous subsection would lead to corresponding DX (*et al*)-expansions. Nevertheless, we will see below that certain operators (for example J) lack a corresponding DX-expansion. (See remark after theorem 13.) The finite commutation rules of the previous subsection do not generalize to infinite commutation rules, for if we substitute (8) into (2), the resulting sum is not necessarily well defined. For example, each coefficient of $X^n D^n$ in an XD-expansion makes its own contribution to the coefficient of $X^0 D^0$ in the corresponding DX-expansion. Since there are no conditions on the coefficients of an XD-expansion, the sum obtained by direct substitution need not converge. That is to say, when an operator is applied to a polynomial of degree n , the sum in (2) is well defined since only the first $n+1$ terms make nonzero contributions. On the other hand, all terms of (4) make potential contributions.

What DX-expansions converge?

To answer this question, we define the *order* $\text{ord}(f)$ of a nonzero formal power series $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k t^k$ to be the smallest j such that $c_j \neq 0$. If $\deg(p) = n$ and $\text{ord}(f) = k$, then $f(D)p(x)$ is of degree $n-k$ if $n \geq k$, and $f(D)p(x) = 0$ otherwise. The order of the zero series is taken to be $+\infty$.

Proposition 10 (DX-Convergence) (1) *The formal sum of operators $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(D) X^k$ converges in the discrete topology if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\text{ord}(f_k) - k] = +\infty$.*

(2) *The formal sum of operators $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D^k a_k(X)$ converges in the discrete topology if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [k - \deg(a_k)] = +\infty$.*

Proof: We will prove only (1) since (2) follows by similar reasoning.

(Only if) By hypothesis, the polynomial sequence $\left(\sum_{k=0}^K f_k(D) X^k p(x) \right)_{K \geq 0}$ is eventually constant for all polynomials $p(x) \in K[x]$. Thus, $\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} f_k(D) x^k p(x)$ is eventually zero. Hence, $\text{ord}(f_k) - k$ is eventually greater than $\deg(p)$. Since $p(x)$ may have any degree, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}(f_k) - k = +\infty$.

(If) Let $p(x)$ be a polynomial of degree n . Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}(f_k) - k = +\infty$, there exists a k_0 beyond which $\text{ord}(f_k) - k > n$. Thus, the sequence $\left(\sum_{k=0}^K f_k(D) X^k \right)_{K \geq 0}$ is constant for $K > k_0$. $\square$

The “B-analog” of proposition 10 is very easy to state. In fact, its proof identical to that of proposition 10 *mutatis mutandis*.

Proposition 11 (BX-Convergence) (1) *The formal sum of operators $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(B) X^k$ converges in the discrete topology if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\text{ord}(f_k) - k] = +\infty$.*

(2) *The formal sum of operators $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k a_k(X)$ converges in the discrete topology if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [k - \deg(a_k)] = +\infty$.*

The obvious corresponding result is false in the multivariate case. Consider for example the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_1^k X_2^k$ which does converge formally. The correct necessary and sufficient conditions are more complex than in the univariate case.

Proposition 12 (DX-Convergence) *The operator sum $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{n}}$ converges formally if and only if for all $\mathbf{j}$, there exist only finitely many triples $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}$ such that $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{j}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}} \neq 0$.*

Proof: The sum converges formally if and only if when applied to any polynomial there are only finitely many contributions. In other words, $Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$ has only contributions to finitely many terms $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}}$, and only finitely many contributions to each such term. These two constraints correspond exactly to the condition above. $\square$

We will not state the obvious BX-analog of proposition 12.

3.3 Characterization of DX-Operators

What operators can be represented by DX-expansions?

A simple criterion is given in theorem 13 based on the matricial representation of an operator. Any operator can be represented as an infinite matrix $C = (c_{nk})_{n,k} \geq 0$ with respect to, for example, the basis $\{x^n : n \geq 0\}$,

$$Qx^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} x^k.$$

Let q_t be the t -th diagonal of the matrix C : $q_t(n) = c_{n,n+t}$ where n is a nonnegative integer, and t is an arbitrary integer under the convention that $c_{nk} = 0$ for $k < 0$.

Theorem 13 (DX-Characterization) *Using the above notation, Q has a DX-expansion if and only if $q_t \in K[n]$ for all integers t .*

While one can see that an operator has a DX-expansion simply by exhibiting such an expansion, the only convenient way to see that an operator does not have such an expansion is to apply the criterion of theorem 13. For example, consider the definite integration operator J defined above. For $Q = J$, $Qx^n = x^{n+1}/(n+1)$. Thus, $q_1(n) = 1/(n+1) \notin K[n]$, so J does not have a DX-expansion. (See propositions 20 and 23 for other examples.)

Theorem 13 is all the more surprising since it has no obvious “BX-analogue.” For example, let $Bx^n = \sin(n)x^{n-1}$. Now, $Q = B$ obviously has a BX-expansion, namely B itself, yet $q_{-1}(n) = \sin(n) \notin K[n]$. On the other hand, theorem 13 has the multivariate analog below which we will prove in the place of theorem 13.

Theorem 14 (DX-Characterization) *Let $Q: K[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow K[\mathbf{x}]$ be a linear operator. Let $q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{n}) = c_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{t}}$ where $Q\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$. Then Q has a DX-expansion $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{x})^{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if $q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{n}) \in K[\mathbf{n}]$ for all integer vectors $\mathbf{t}$ (with finite support).*

Proof: (Only if) The only terms which contribute to $q_{\mathbf{t}}$ are $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{k}}$. By (1) of proposition 12, there are finitely many such terms. Each makes a contribution of $(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{k})_{\mathbf{k}} \in K[\mathbf{n}]$. Thus, $q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{n}) \in K[\mathbf{n}]$.

(If) Define the operator $Q_{\mathbf{t}}$ by the relation $Q_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} = q_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{t}}$. Then $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{t}} Q_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a convergent expansion of Q since $q_{\mathbf{t}}$ has finite support, so it will suffice to show that each $Q_{\mathbf{t}}$ is a DX-operator.

The polynomial $p_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{n}) = (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{k})_{\mathbf{k}}$ has leading term $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus, $p_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a basis for $K[\mathbf{n}]$, and $q_{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} p_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus, $Q_{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{k}}$. (Note that if $t_i < 0$, then $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is necessarily zero for $k_i < -t_i$.) $\square$

Note that since $p_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a basis, the choice of $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is unique. Thus, we have the following result.

Proposition 15 (XD-Uniqueness) *XD-expansions and XD-expansions are unique.*

3.4 Closure of DX-Operators

The main result of this section is the fact that the set $\mathcal{DX}$ of operators with DX-expansions is closed under composition. The following corollary of proposition 10 is crucial to the proof of theorem 17.

Lemma 16 *Using the above notation, if $Q \in \mathcal{DX}$, then q_t is identically zero for t large.*

Proof: Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}(f_k) - k = +\infty$, it follows that $k - \text{ord}(f_k)$ takes a maximum value T . Hence, q_t is identically zero for $t > T$. $\square$

It can similarly be shown that if Q has a BX-expansion, then q_t is identically zero for t large.

Theorem 17 *The set $\mathcal{DX}$ of DX-operators forms a (noncommutative, unitary) K -subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(K[x], K[x])$ of all linear operators equipped with the standard operations*

$$\begin{aligned} (R \circ P)p &= R(Pp) \\ (cQ)p &= c(Qp) \\ (R + P)p &= Rp + Pp. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\mathcal{DX}$ is trivially closed under multiplication by constants, and under addition. We will now show that $\mathcal{DX}$ is closed under composition.

Let Q and R be DX-operators. Thus, as defined above q_t and r_s are polynomials by theorem 13. Moreover, by lemma 16, q_t is identically zero for $t > T$, and r_s is identically zero for $s > S$. Define $Q = R \circ P$. It suffices to show that q_u is a polynomial.

$$\begin{aligned} Qx^n &= \sum_{t \in \mathbf{Z}} p_t(n) R x^{n+t} \\ &= \sum_{s, t \in \mathbf{Z}} p_t(n) r_s(n+t) x^{n+t+s} \\ q_u(n) &= \sum_{s+t=u} p_t(n) r_s(n+t) \\ &= \sum_{t=u-S}^T p_t(n) r_{u-t}(n+t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $q_u(n) \in K[n]$ since it is a finite sum of polynomials. $\square$

Note that q_u is identically zero for $u > S + T$.

Surprisingly, theorem 17 does not even generalize to two variables. Consider the DX-operators $R = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_1^k X_2^k$, and $P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_2^k X_1^k$. Now, let $Q = R \circ P$. Recall that $q_{00}(i, j)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^i x_2^j$ in $Qx_1^i x_2^j$. Thus, $q_{00}(n, n)$ is given by the finite hypergeometric function ${}_3F_0(1, n+1, -n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (n)_k (n+k)_k$. All of the terms of the sum are nonnegative, and the term corresponding to $k = 0$ gives a lower bound of $(n!)^2$. This guarantees that q_{00} is not polynomial. Thus, Q is not a **DX**-operator by theorem 14.

3.5 Coefficients of DX-Expansions

Note that (3) gives an efficient method of calculating the XD-expansion of an operator Q . Given the action of Q on a few polynomials $Qx^0, Qx^1, \dots, Qx^n$, the first few terms of its XD-expansion can be automatically calculated [2]. On the other hand, the coefficients $f_k(D)$ of a DX-expansion (4) depend on the action of Q on all the powers of x . No efficient means of calculating DX-expansions is known in general. (Various special techniques are used for each case treated in section 4.) Is there an analog of (3) for DX-expansions? The only results in this direction found so far are the following propositions.

Proposition 18 *Let $Q \in \mathcal{DX}$. If*

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(D) X^n,$$

then

$$\frac{Q \exp(xt)}{\exp(xt)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c_n^{(k)}(t) x^{n-k}.$$

Proof: By (9),

$$\begin{aligned} Q \exp(xt) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(D) X^n \exp(xt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} X^{n-k} c_n^{(k)}(D) \exp(xt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} c_n^{(k)}(t) \exp(xt) \\ \frac{Q \exp(xt)}{\exp(xt)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} c_n^{(k)}(t). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By similar reasoning, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 19 *Let $Q \in \mathcal{DX}$.*

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^n a_n(X),$$

then

$$\frac{Q \exp(xt)}{\exp(xt)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_n^{(k)}(x) t^{n-k}. \quad (11)$$

4 Examples

In this section we present example of DX- and XD-expansions.

4.1 Umbral Operators

A *delta operator* P is a shift-invariant degree reducing operator. Note that by the D-expansion formula $P = f(D)$ where $\text{ord}(f) = 1$. Let $p_n(x)$ be the divided power sequence of P . The operator $U_P: p_n(x) \rightarrow x^n$ is the *umbral operator* associated to P , and the operator $\sigma_P: p_n(x) \rightarrow (n+1)p_{n+1}(x)$ is the *umbral shift* associated to P . For example, $U_D = I$ and $\sigma_D = X$.

Proposition 20 *The umbral operator U_P is a DX-operator if and only if $Px = 1$.*

Proof: **(If)** Let $P = p(D)$, and let $r(t) = t - p(t)$. By [4, theorem 2.1],

$$U_P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p'(D) r(D)^k X^k / k!.$$

By proposition 10, the sum above converges since $\text{ord}(p'r^k) = 2k$ and $2k - k \rightarrow +\infty$.

(Only If) Let $a = Px \in K$ and $Q = U_P$. The leading coefficient of $p_n(x)$ is $1/a^n$. The result follows from theorem 13 since $q_0(n) = a^n$ is not a polynomial with respect to n unless $a = 1$. $\square$

On the other hand, all umbral operators have the following XD-expansion.

Proposition 21 *Let P be a delta operator. Then its umbral operator U_P can be expressed as*

$$U_P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^k (P - D)^k / k!.$$

Proof: Let $\bar{p}_k(x)$ be the conjugate sequence of polynomials for $P = p(D)$ defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_k(x) t^k / k! = \exp(xp(t)).$$

Note by [9, theorem 7, p. 708], $U_P x^k = \bar{p}_k(x)$. We now calculate the coefficients $c_n(x)$ of the XD-expansion by applying (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x) t^n &= \frac{U_P \exp(xt)}{\exp(xt)} \\ &= \exp(-xt) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_P x^k) t^k / k! \\ &= \exp(-xt) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_k(x) t^k / k! \\ &= \exp(x(p(t) - t)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $U_P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^k (P - D)^k / k!$. $\square$

Contrast with the triple sum expansion given in [12].

4.2 Umbral Shifts

Proposition 22 *All umbral shifts σ_P are DX-operators.*

Proof: Given $c \in K^*$, the umbral shift σ_P is identical to $c\sigma_{cP}$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $Px = 1$. Moreover, $\sigma_P = (U_P)^{-1}XU_P$. Note that $(U_P)^{-1} = U_R$ where $R = r(D)$, $P = p(D)$, $r(p(t)) = p(r(t)) = t$ (cf. [9, theorem 7, p. 708]). By the Lagrange inversion formula, $Rx = 1$. Thus, σ_P is the composition of three DX-operators: U_R, X, U_P . Hence, by theorem 17, σ_P is a DX-operator. $\square$

Alternate Proof: The following XD-expansion of σ_P is a restatement of Rodrigues' formula [9, theorem 4, p. 695]:

$$\sigma_P = X \frac{1}{P'} \quad (12)$$

where $P' = PX - XP$ is the shift-invariant operator called the *Pincherle derivative* of P [9, Section 4, p. 694]. We then deduce

$$\sigma_P = \frac{1}{P'}X - \left(\frac{1}{P'}\right)' = \frac{1}{P'}X + \frac{P''}{(P')^2} \quad (13)$$

as an explicit DX-expansion of σ_P . $\square$

Note that X appears with exponent at most one in expansions (12) and (13).

4.3 Endomorphisms

Proposition 23 *The only endomorphisms of $K[x]$ with DX-expansions are the translation operators.*

Proof: Let Q be an endomorphism of $K[x]$, $Qp(x) = p(q(x))$. If $\deg(q) > 1$, then q_t is not identically zero for t large, and by lemma 16, Q can not be a DX-operator.

If $\deg(q) = 0$, then without loss of generality (compose Q with a translation if necessary), Q is evaluation at zero. Thus, $q_0(n) = 1$ if $n = 0$, and 0 otherwise. This function is not a polynomial. Hence, by theorem 13, Q is not a DX-operator.

If $\deg(q) = 1$, then $q(x) = ax + b$. Without loss of generality, $q(x) = ax$. Thus, $q_0(n) = a^n$ which is not polynomial unless $a = 1$. $\square$

On the other hand, by (3), all endomorphisms Q of $K[x]$ have the following XD-expansion

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (q(X) - X)^k D^k / k!.$$

where $Q(p(x)) = p(q(x))$. Notice the similarity to Taylor's formula.

In the multivariate case, let $q_i(x) = Qx_i$. Then

$$Q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}} / \mathbf{k}!.$$

5 Open Problems

- a) By theorem 17, the product of two DX operators is again a DX operator. Given the DX-expansion of Q and R , is there an explicit formula for the DX-expansion of their product? Similarly, is there an explicit formula for the XD-expansion of any two operators given their XD-expansions.
- b) If K is a topological field, then we have a weaker notion of convergence than the discrete topology, and thus more DX-operators. How can they be classified?
- c) Is the product of two BX-operators also a BX-operator? This problem is especially difficult since we have no criteria analogous to theorem 13 to tell whether a product is a BX-operator. In fact, it remains to be seen whether BX-expansions are unique (when they exist).
- d) Find a formula more explicit than propositions 18 and 19 by which to calculate DX-expansions. Is there a BX-analog of propositions 18 and 19?
- e) Let B be a degree lowering operator as above, and let Y be a degree raising operator. For what B and Y can all linear operators be expressed by a (unique) YB-expansion: $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B^k a_k(Y)$.
- f) Characterize operators Q with identical XD and DX-expansions. That is,

$$Q = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} X^i D^j = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} D^j X^i.$$

Conjecture: Q must be of the form $p(X) + f(D)$ for some polynomial p and formal power series f .

- g) Extensions of the Umbral Calculus from polynomials to inverse Laurent series (negative powers of x) and Artinian series (fractional powers of x) are known [10, 6]. Can these be used to derive XD expansions of operators that act not on polynomials, but on Laurent or Artinian series?

References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, “Eléments de Mathématique. Livre IV: Fonctions d’une Variable Réelle, Fasc. XII, Chap. 5, Etude locale des fonctions.” Actualités Sci. Indust. No. 1132, Hermann, Paris (1951).
- [2] A. BOTTREAU, A. DI BUCCHIANICO, AND D. LOEB, Maple Umbral Calculus Package, to appear in the proceedings of the conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Paris (1995).
- [3] P. FEINSILVER AND R. SCHOTT, *Algebraic Structures and Operator Calculus, Volume 1: Representation and Probability Theory*, Kluwer (1993).
- [4] A. M. GARSIA AND S. A. JONI, A new expression for umbral operators and power series Inversion, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1977) 179–185.
- [5] S. G. KURBANOV AND V. M. MAKSIMOV, Mutual expansions of differential operators and divided difference operators, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. UzSSR* **4** (1986) 8-9.
- [6] D. LOEB, The iterated logarithmic algebra, *Adv. Math.* **86** (1991) 155-234.
- [7] G. MARKOWSKY, Differential operators and the theory of binomial enumeration, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **63** (1978) 145–155.
- [8] S. PINCHERLE AND U. AMALDI, *Le operazioni distributive e le loro applicazioni all’analisi*, N. Zanichelli, Bologna (1901).
- [9] ROTA, G.-C. AND D. KAHANER AND A. ODLYZKO, On the foundations of combinatorial theory VII. Finite operator calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **42** (1973) 684–760.

- [10] S. ROMAN AND G.-C. ROTA, The umbral calculus, *Adv. Math.* **27** (1978) 95-188.
- [11] S. ROMAN, *The Umbral Calculus*, Academic Press (1984).
- [12] X.-H. SUN, On Rota's problem of the explicit representation for umbral operators, *Discrete Math.* **120** (1993) 297–300.